



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/057,897	01/29/2002	Toyoki Ue	JEL 31056I	5161
75	90 04/10/2002			
James E. Ledbetter, Esq. STEVENS, DAVIS, MILLER & MOSHER, L.L.P. Suite 850 1615 L Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036			EXAMINER	
			GANTT, ALAN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2684	
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

91

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
, 4	10/057,897	UE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alan T. Gantt	2684			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replevation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 136(a). In no event, however, m sly within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 e, cause the application to beco ng date of this communication, e	3 MONTH(S) FROM ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/2	<u>ca/UZ</u> . This action is non-final				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.	n matters prosecution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 31-35 is/are pending in the application	tion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideratio	n.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-35</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		-4			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requireme	nt.			
Application Papers	nor				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner. tod or b\□ objected :	to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	is: a) ☐ approved	b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action	1.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
1					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	rigii Fii 🕜				
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☑ Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been receiv	ed.			
	ents have been receiv	ed in Application No. <u>09/424,843</u> .			
a Coming of the contified copies of the c	priority documents hav	e been received in this National Stage			
application from the International	list of the certified cop	ies not received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)			
a) The translation of the foreign language	orovisional application	nas peen received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) 🔲	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/057,897

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 31-34 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,366,763. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 31-34 of the current application utilize narrower claim language such of the current application as reception circuitry which is obviously a reception means, and transmission rate control circuitry which is obviously a rate changing means as used in the patent.

Claim 35 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,366,763. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because, obviously, monitoring circuitry is a monitoring means; judging circuitry is obviously a judging means, and transmission circuitry is obviously a transmitting means.

1

Application/Control Number: 10/057,897

Art Unit: 2684

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to Alan Gantt at telephone number (703) 305-0077. The examiner can normally be reached between 9:30 AM and 6 PM within the Eastern Time Zone. The group FAX number is (703) 308-6306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to this application should be directed to the group receptionist at telephone number (703) 305-4700.

alan T. Dantt Alan T. Gantt

April 4, 2002

PRIMARY EXAMINER

(17 7ko)